

AGENDA OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL CITY OF BIRCHWOOD VILLAGE WASHINGTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA July 31, 2024 5:00 P.M.

NOTE: Due to Open Meeting Law restrictions, the City Council may be discussing agenda items for the first time. Your patience and understanding is appreciated during this process.

APPROVE AGENDA

CITY BUSINESS

Tighe-Schmitz Project and Funding – Lori Tella, Washington Conservation District* (pp.2-15)

- A. Tighe-Schmitz Project Background
- B. Potential Projects identified
- C. WBIF Funding Opportunity
- D. Project Costs
- E. Roles and Responsibilities
- F. Next Steps

ADJOURN

Additional Links for Review: <u>https://bwsr.state.mn.us/watershed-based-implementation-funding-program</u> <u>https://cityofbirchwood.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/2040-Comprehensive-Plan.pdf</u> (p. 60) E. Swale and sump – at inlet in ROW at Dellwood Beach [WBL-02 BMP 22]

> D. Inlet to convert to filtration basin [not ranked]

C. Rain Garden/ Basin in Park above existing outlet to lake [see WBL 02, BMP 5] -

B. Filtration Basin at the Park [BMP 06 WBL-10]

A. Infiltration Basin or Pond at manhole to Preibe Lake Outlet [see WBL 10, BMP 6]



















Project #	Entity Requesting Funding (Grantee)	Fiscal Agent	Name of Project	Project Description	Water Resource	Grant Funds Requested	Non-State Match	Total Project Cost	TIMING	Proposed Measurable Outcomes
	Washington Conservation District Fon behalf of Birchwood Village1	Washington Conservation District	Tighe-Schmitz Park WO Improvement Projects	This project will implement 3-5 bmp practices including biofiltration basins and swales that have direct connection to White Bear Lake and Halls Marsh. These projects include BMP 06, BMP 05 and BMP 22. They have been ranked and identified in the SE White Bear Lake SW Betrofit analysis.	Hall's Marsh and White Bear Lake.	\$75.000	\$7.500	\$82.500	2025-2027	Pollutant Load Reductions of 9 lb TP/vr. 2500
						<i>\</i>	φ7,000	Ψ02,000	2020-2027	

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Tighe-Schmitz Park WQ projects, WBL-10, Priebe Lake WBL-10: Prebie Lake Outlet Treatment/Wet Pond or Basin near final manhole Outlet Treatment [IESF or Wet Pond] WBL-10 [A] at Tighe-Schmitz Park. White Bear Lake and Hall's Marsh \$25,000 \$2,750 \$27,500 2026 3.8 lb/yr TP, 859 lb/yr TSS А WBL-10, BMP 06 Filtration Basin at Park. Existing catchbasin is last structure before pipe outlet into Hall's Marsh; in which this pipeshed drains the entire Priebe Lake outlet system. Catchbasin is full of leaf debris and backs up for weeks at a time in the park. Clean out catchbasin, add minimum 6" riser to catchbasin, install 800 sf filtration basin with 12" ponding, 12-24" of Tighe-Schmitz Park WQ projects WBL-10, BMP 6 [B], replacement media, and an underdrain with a knife valve tied to the Filtration Basin at Park catchbasin. White Bear Lake and Hall's Marsh \$15,000 \$1,650 \$16,500 2026 1.2 lb/yr TP, 395 lb/yr TSS WBL-02, BMP 5: BioFiltration Basin - Install filtration basin above catchbasin to lake. Add riser to Catchbasin rim to gain critical depth to allow for underdrain installation and connection to shallow catchbasin. Area is 600 sf, 12" ponding, Tighe-Schmitz Park WQ projects WBL-02 BMP 5 [C], 1.5 depth of 70/30 sand/peat soil replacement. Underdrain controlled via knife BioFiltration Basin above outlet to lake valve. Very steep slopes and a history of heavy sediment loads in rain events. White Bear Lake and Hall's Marsh \$20,000 \$2,200 \$22,000 2026 2.8 lb/yr TP, 851 lb/yr TSS WBL- 02 BMP 22: Install/ enhance swale at western end of public ROW that connects to lake. Install riprap sump at inlet that flows into 200; long vegetated Tighe-Schmitz Park WQ projects WBL-02 BMP 22 [E], swale, 4' wide bottom and 3:1 side slopes, with 2 checkdams in flowpath. Very Swale with Rip Rap Sump steep slopes and a history of heavy sediment loads in rain events. White Bear Lake and Hall's Marsh \$15,000 \$1,100 \$11,000 2026 1.2 lb/yr TP, 395 lb/yr TSS F

TOTAL OTHER

> Tighe-Schmitz Park WQ projects WBL-02 UNRANKED, 5 Shoreline Restorations

Up to 5 non-priority shorelines with 15' wide buffers. No specific Location. 13,000 sf

Tighe-Schmitz Park WQ projects WBL-02 Street Sweping Increase sweeping (4x per year) Wetland and Buffer Restoration

Not Ranked: Add basin and allow for underdrain installation and connection Tighe-Schmitz Park WQ project D, Basin by ice rink sand/peat soil replacement. Underdrain controlled via knife valve. Clogged [Unranked - NOT IDENTIFIED]

Project Description	Water Resource	Grant Funds Requested	Non-State Match	Total Project Cost	TIMING	Proposed Measurable Outcomes

ment 3-5 bmp practices including biofiltration at have direct connection to White Bear Lake and rojects include BMP 06, BMP 05 and BMP 22. They have

\$7,700 \$77,000

\$75,000 TP 3 lb/yr, TSS 500 lb/yr, WBL \$7,500 \$82,500 \$820 \$100 \$920 WBL TP 1.1 lb/yr, TSS 464 lb.yr

7.8 lb/yr

to shallow catchbasin. Area is 600 sf, 12" ponding, 1.5 depth of 70/30

\$15,000 \$1,650 2026 TBD inlet. History of heavy sediment loads in rain events. White Bear Lake and Hall's Marsh \$16,500

	Plan Reference	Supplement Existing Funding	BWSR Eligibility Check	
			Pg 4-32. Section 4.3.14 Regional Water Management Partnership Projects. " Further, implementation of projects identified in a 2017 Southeast White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit analysis completed by the Washington Conservation District will be targeted through Regional Water Management Partnership funds.Funding for this capital improvement project will likely be through District ad valorem funds, municipal funding, and State water quality grant funding."	
lb/ yr of TSS			This project is identified on SE White Bear Lake SW Retrofit analysis (page 32 - 53) for the WBL-10 and WBL-02 Subwatersheds.	SOURCE: 4.3.14 Regional \ content/uploads/docume
			Pg 4-32. Section 4.3.14 Regional Water Management Partnership Projects. " Further, implementation of projects identified in a 2017 Southeast White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit analysis completed by the Washington Conservation District will be targeted through Regional Water Management Partnership funds.Funding for this capital improvement project will likely be through District ad valorem funds, municipal funding, and State water quality grant funding."	
	SE White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit		This project is identified on SE White Bear Lake SW Retrofit analysis (page 52) for the WBL-10 Subwatershed.	SOURCE: 4.3.14 Regional V content/uploads/documen
			Pg 4-32. Section 4.3.14 Regional Water Management Partnership Projects. " Further, implementation of projects identified in a 2017 Southeast White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit analysis completed by the Washington Conservation District will be targeted through Regional Water Management Partnership funds.Funding for this capital improvement project will likely be through District ad valorem funds, municipal funding, and State water quality grant funding."	
	SE White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit		This project is identified on SE White Bear Lake SW Retrofit analysis (page 52 - 53) for the WBL 10 Subwatershed.	~\$10,000 (2017) Maintenar
			Pg 4-32. Section 4.3.14 Regional Water Management Partnership Projects. " Further, implementation of projects identified in a 2017 Southeast White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit analysis completed by the Washington Conservation District will be targeted through Regional Water Management Partnership funds.Funding for this capital improvement project will likely be through District ad valorem funds, municipal funding, and State water quality grant funding."	
	SE White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit		This project is identified on SE White Bear Lake SW Retrofit analysis PG 32 of analysis	~\$17,658 in 2017, Maintena
			Pg 4-32. Section 4.3.14 Regional Water Management Partnership Projects. " Further, implementation of projects identified in a 2017 Southeast White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit analysis completed by the Washington Conservation District will be targeted through Regional Water Management Partnership funds.Funding for this capital improvement project will likely be through District ad valorem funds, municipal funding, and State water quality grant funding "	
	SE White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit		This project is identified on SE White Bear Lake SW Retrofit analysis PG 32 of analysis	~\$9,308 in 2017, O&M Mair
			Pg 4-32. Section 4.3.14 Regional Water Management Partnership Projects. " Further, implementation of projects identified in a 2017 Southeast White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit analysis completed by the Washington Conservation District will be targeted through Regional Water Management Partnership funds.Funding for this capital improvement project will likely be through District ad valorem funds, municipal funding, and State water quality grant funding."	
			This project is identified on SE White Bear Lake SW Retrofit analysis WBL-02 Subwatershed. [pg 30]	\$73,400 (2017), \$5,000 pe
			Pg 4-32. Section 4.3.14 Regional Water Management Partnership Projects. " Further, implementation of projects identified in a 2017 Southeast White Bear Lake Stormwater	

Retrofit analysis completed by the Washington Conservation District will be targeted through Regional Water Management Partnership funds. Funding for this capital improvement project will likely be through District ad valorem funds, municipal funding, and State water quality grant funding." This project is identified on SE White Bear Lake SW Retrofit analysis WBL-02 Subwatershed. PG 31

SE White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit

Additional Comments	
Regional Water Management Partnership Projects: https://www.ricecreek.org/wp-	
/document-library/RCWD_2020_Watershed_Management_Plan_Updated_August	

4 Regional Water Management Partnership Projects: https://www.ricecreek.org/wpds/document-library/RCWD_2020_Watershed_Management_Plan_Updated_August UNRANKED

) Maintenance, 10 year cost (\$5,000) -\$500/year #22 17, Maintenace Cost = \$775/ year #21

#34 , O&M Maintenance Cost= \$900/yr

, \$5,000 per year ~ \$1,000 per site maintenance cost

#44

UNRANKED

Catchment WBL-10



Existing Catchment	Summary			EXISTING CONDITIONS						
Acres	35.10		Existing Conditions	Base	Treatment	Net Treatment	Existing			
Dominant Land Cover	MDRNA			Loading		%	Loading			
Volume (acre-feet/yr)	9.50	at a	TP (lb/yr)	17.1	1.1	6%	16.1			
TD (0. 1.)	46.07	mei	TSS (Ib/yr)	6,799	460.0	7%	6,339			
IP (ID/yr)	16.07	Sat	Volume (acre-feet/yr)	9.50	0.0	0%	9.5			
TSS (Ib/yr) 6,339		A.	BMP Type	Street Sweep 2x annually						

CATCHMENT DESCRIPTION

WBL-10 is mostly medium density, single-family residential land use; with a few larger lots with wooded depressions. Roads are a mix of curbed and uncurbed, and drainage is not uniformly distributed to catchbasins or practices. Steep slopes, heavy tree canopy, abundance of gravel driveways, and irregular geography all contribute to heavy TSS loads. This catchment flows direct to Hall's Marsh via overland flow in the southeast, and joins the Priebe Lake network and outlets via Tighe-Schmitz Park to Hall's Marsh.

EXISTING STORMWATER TREATMENT

Street sweeping of city streets occurs approximately twice annually, with mechanical broom sweeper. There are improvements to drainage issues, but no infiltration or filtration practices present. Additional practices and increased street sweeping can help to alleviate the pressure on downstream resources.

WBL-10: RETROFIT RECOMMENDATIONS

RANK 6/46 - Increased Street Sweeping: Increase Street Sweeping from 2x/year to 4x/year.
 RANK 22/46 - BMP 6: Filtration Basin at Park: Clean catchbasin, add riser and draintile to ponded area at west side of Tighe-Schmitz Park to create functioning filtration basin
 UNRANKED - Priebe Lake Outlet Treatment: IESF or Wet Pond near final manhole at Tighe-Schmitz Park.



			RETROFIT	OPTIONS								
	Catchment WBL-10											
	Cost/Removal Analysis	BMP6: BioFil in P	tration Basin 'ark	Increased St to 4x	reet Sweeping per year	Total Reductions (all implemented)						
		New trtmt	Net %	New trtmt	Net%	New trtmt	Net %					
	TP (Ib/yr)	3.81	24%	1.1	7%	4.9	30%					
	TSS (Ib/yr)	849	13%	464.0	7%	1313.0	21%					
mt	Volume (acre-feet/yr)	0.9	10%	0.0	0%	0.9	10%					
tme	Number of BMP's		1		1	1						
Ired	BMP Size/Description	1,000	sf	1.230	curb miles	All Prac	actices					
	ВМР Туре	Moderatel BioFilt	ly Complex tration	Street	Sweeping	Sweeping and Filtration Basin						
	Materials/Labor/Design	\$22,6	50.00	\$43	20.33	\$23,07	0.33					
	Promotion & Admin Costs	\$4	00	S	400	\$80	0					
ts	Probable Project Cost	\$23	,050	\$	820	\$23,8	70					
8	Annual O&M	\$7	50		\$0	\$75	0					
	10-yr Cost/lb-TP/yr	\$8	02	\$	577	\$43	9					
	10-yr Cost/2,000lb-TSS/yr	\$7,	197	\$	354	\$3,775						

WBL-10: BMP 6 Filtration Basin at Park

Drainage Area – 2.5 acres Location – Behind 501 Wildwood Ave, in Tighe-Schmitz Park Property Ownership – Public



Description – Existing catchbasin is last structure before pipe outlet into Hall's Marsh; in which this pipeshed drains the entire Priebe Lake outlet system. Catchbasin is full of leaf debris and backs up for weeks at a time in the park. Clean out catchbasin, add minimum 6" riser to catchbasin, install 800 sf filtration basin with 12" ponding, 12-24" of replacement media, and an underdrain with a knife valve tied to the catchbasin. See "UNRANKED" practice profile on next page for alternatives to this practice.



		REIRUFII	OPTIONS			
		Catchmen	nt WBL-10			
	Cost/Removal Analysis	BMP 6 - Biofi	Itration Basin			
		New trtmt	Net %			
	TP (lb/yr)	1.53	36%			
	TSS (Ib/yr)	588.0	35%			
ant	Volume (acre-feet/yr)	1.0	26%			
tme	Number of BMP's	1				
Trea	BMP Size/Description	800	sf			
	ВМР Туре	Complex Biofiltration				
	Materials/Labor/Design	\$9,8	91.30			
	Promotion & Admin Costs	\$4	100			
st	Probable Project Cost	\$10	,291			
8	Annual O&M	\$4	100			
	10-yr Cost/Ib-TP/yr	\$9	34			
	10-yr Cost/2,000lb-TSS/yr	\$4,	861			

WBL-10: UNRANKED - Alternative Practices at Park

Drainage Area – Entire Priebe Lake Catchment Area and adjoining pipesheds Location – Tighe-Schmitz Park Property Ownership – Public



Description – Priebe Lake is a shallow water body with a history of algal blooms and apparent poor water quality. The lake was routed through a new outlet pipe in 1981. The entire Priebe Lake catchment (City of White Bear Lake) drains through the existing storm sewer network in Mahtomedi and Birchwood Village and discharges into Hall's Marsh. Although Hall's Marsh and Priebe Lake are not considered priority water bodies by the Rice Creek Watershed District, it is evident that the Priebe Lake catchments can have a direct impact on White Bear Lake since in most normal to wet years Hall's Marsh is directly connected via surface water to White Bear Lake.



At Tighe-Schmitz Park resides the last catchbasin along the path of the Priebe Lake discharge network to Hall's Marsh. The park itself used to be a wetland until it was filled in 60's. As a result, many areas within the park still exhibit seasonal and semi-permanent flooding; limiting the types and frequency of activities that can be performed at the site.

There are multiple views on how to best utilize the park; from a push to modernize the facilities and increase structured programming at the park to a desire to see it reverted back into a wetland. While these different uses may seem incompatible, there are opportunities in which the city and the watershed could address multiple issues equally on the site. See next pages for Alternatives One and Two.



Alternative One: Install Iron Enhanced Sand Filter (IESF) in ROW to treat Priebe Lake outlet flows prior to discharging to Hall's Marsh.

Understanding TP and TSS effluent concentrations from Priebe Lake and surrounding catchments at this discharge point would be critical in determining effectiveness of treatment. A manhole structure would be inserted at 932' (end of road access) to divert low flows though the iron-enhanced sand filter, and a highflow bypass pipe would take the rest downstream. The IESF would be installed at the 930' or 932' contour. There would be roughly 1500 sf of usable basin area (with minor regrading). Final sizing and depth would be determined by dissolved phosphorus concentrations that were determined by monitoring of this pipe outlet. Additional wet storage can be inserted below the sand filter as well for further treatment (see BMP 6).



Alternative Two: <u>Park regrading and Wetland/Wet Pond facility expansion</u>. There are multiple views on how to best use the park space; expand recreation opportunities and update facilities, or revert to the historic wetland character. Given that there are always drainage issues in the fields due to the presence of a high water table (former wetland), it makes sense to strike a balance between recreation needs, stormwater management, and wetland restoration. This calls for regrading the park in select areas by raising the field grade by 1-3'; and working with the periphery zones that are always flooded and restoring them to a combination of wetland and stormwater BMPs. A feasibility study and community support for the proposal would need to be coordinated, but the end product could address multiple uses and community needs in one site. The IESF in Alternative One could be incorporated in this scenario as well. See image for concept layout.



Catchment WBL-02

Birchwood Fest Courty Tuo Reviews Sun	Cedar Bio Bio Cedar Five Dates Ost Will Rivers Rivers Bio Sec Upper States Sec Upper States Sec Upper States Sec	and the second se			boundary bo	Hickory Hickory United Base United Base Un	Ve Fir Elm hug should be forces which Ash hug for the forces forces
Existing Catchm	ent Summary				EXISTING C	ONDITIONS	
Acres	34.51		Existing Conditions	Base Loading	Treatment	Net Treatment %	Existing Loading
Dominant Land Cover	MDRNA		TP (lb/yr)	20.7	0.7	4%	19.9
Volume (acre-feet/yr)	9.45	tent	TSS (lb/yr)	7,092	322.0	5%	6,770
TP (lb/yr)	19.94	ea tm	Volume (acre-feet/yr)	9.45	0.0	0%	9.4
TSS (Ib/yr)	6,770	Ă	ВМР Туре	Stre	et Sweeping, Sh	oreline Restorat	tion

CATCHMENT DESCRIPTION

WBL-02 is mostly comprised of medium density, single-family residential clustered on 50'-75' wide shoreline lots (making impervious concentrations higher than an average lake lot). There are not many roads that drain into the lake from this catchment. There is not much observed erosion via aerial inspection, so critical shoreline repairs were not identified.

EXISTING STORMWATER TREATMENT

Street sweeping of city streets and parking lots occur approximately twice annually, but road coverage is very small. There is one cost-share shoreline restoration on record.

WBL-02: RETROFIT RECOMMENDATIONS

RANK 3/46 - Increased Street Sweeping: Increase Street Sweeping from 2x/year to 4x/year.
RANK 21/46 - BMP 5: BioFiltration Basin: Raingarden in park above existing outlet to lake.
RANK 34/46 - BMP 22 Swale with Riprap Sump : Swale and riprap sump along public ROW draining to lake.

RANK 44/46 - **Typical Shoreline Restorations**: Up to 5 non-priority shorelines with 15' wide enhanced shoreline buffers. No specific locations chosen (no BMP Profile included).



						RETROFIT	OPTIONS				
1						Catchmer	nt WBL-02				
Cost/Removal Analysis		BMP 5: BioFiltration Basin		BMP 22: Swale with Riprap Sump		Typical Shoreline Restoration		Increased Street Sweeping to 4x per year		Total Reductions (all implemented)	
		New trtmt	Net %	New trtmt	Net %	New trtmt	Net %	New trtmt	Net %	New trtmt	Net %
	TP (Ib/yr)	2.86	14%	1.23	6%	3.00	15%	1.2	6%	8.3	42%
	TSS (Ib/yr)	851	13%	395	6%	500	7%	520.0	8%	2266.0	33%
ant	Volume (acre-feet/yr)	0.7	8%	0.3	4%	0.0	0%	0.0	O 96	1.0	11%
tm	Number of BMP's	1			1	2	:0		1	2	3
Tec.	BMP Size/Description	600	sf	1,200	sf	13,000	sf	0.360	curb miles	All Pra	actices
	ВМР Туре	Moderatel BioFilt	ly Complex tration	Dry Swale		Lakeshore Restoration		Street Sweeping		Sweeping, Bio Sho	oFiltration, and ores
	Materials/Labor/Design	\$17,1	58.00	\$8,8	08.00	\$66,9	00.00	\$27	1.56	\$93,1	37.56
	Promotion & Admin Costs	\$5	00	\$5	500	\$6,	500	\$4	100	\$7,	900
5	Probable Project Cost	\$17	,658	\$9,	308	\$73	,400	\$6	572	\$101	1,038
8	Annual O&M	\$4	50	\$9	900	\$5,	850	\$	0	\$7,	200
	10-yr Cost/lb-TP/yr	\$7	75	\$1,	485	\$4,	397	\$	56	\$1,678	
	10-yr Cost/2,000lb-TSS/yr	\$5,	208	\$9,	270	\$52	,760	\$2	58	\$16	,874

WBL-02: BMP 5 Filtration Basin + BMP 22 Swale

Drainage Area – BMP 5 = 0.99 acres BMP 22 = 1.65 acres *Location* – Intersections Lake Dr at Tighe-Schmitz Park *Property Ownership* – Public ROW/Private



BMP 5 Description – Install filtration basin above existing catchbasin to lake. Add riser to Catchbasin rim to gain critical depth to allow for underdrain installation and connection to shallow catchbasin. Area is 600 sf, 12" ponding depth, 1.5' depth of 70/30 sand/peat soil replacement. Underdrain controlled via knife valve. Very steep slopes in drainage area with history of heavy sediment loads in rain events.

BMP 22 Description – Install swale at western end of public ROW that connects to lake. Install riprap sump at inlet that flows into 200' long vegetated swale, 4' wide bottom and 3:1 side slopes, with 2 checkdams along flow path. Very steep slopes in drainage area with history of heavy sediment loads in rain events.



		RETROFIT	OPTIONS			RETROFIT	OPTIONS	
		Catchmen	t WBL-02]		Catchmen	t WBL-02	
	Cost/Removal Analysis	BMP 5: BioFiltration Basin			Cost/Removal Analysis	BMP 22: Swale with Riprap Sump		
		New trtmt	Net %			New trtmt	Net %	
	TP (lb/yr)	2.86	14%		TP (lb/yr)	1.23	6%	
	TSS (Ib/yr)	851	13%		TSS (Ib/yr)	395	6%	
at	Volume (acre-feet/yr)	0.7	8%	Ť.	Volume (acre-feet/yr)	0.3	4%	
tme	Number of BMP's	1			Number of BMP's	1	L	
Trea	BMP Size/Description	600	sf	Trea	BMP Size/Description	1,200	sf	
	BMP Type	Moderatel BioFilt	y Complex ration		ВМР Туре	Dry Si	wale	
	Materials/Labor/Design	\$17,1	58.00		Materials/Labor/Design	\$8,80	08.00	
	Promotion & Admin Costs	\$5	00		Promotion & Admin Costs	\$5	00	
ts.	Probable Project Cost	\$17,	658	st	Probable Project Cost	\$9,3	308	
8	Annual O&M	\$4	50	8	Annual O&M	\$9	00	
	10-yr Cost/Ib-TP/yr	\$7	75		10-yr Cost/Ib-TP/yr	\$1,4	185	
	10-yr Cost/2,000lb-TSS/yr	\$5,3	208		10-yr Cost/2,000lb-TSS/yr	\$9,270		



Figure 6-5. Catchment Analysis Map

In May of 2017, the Washington Conservation District prepared the SE White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit Analysis for the Rice Creek Watershed District. This document analyzed and provided a prioritized list (ranked by cost effectiveness) of stormwater retrofit recommendations to improve the treatment of stormwater runoff in several drainage areas connected to White Bear Lake, Lost Lake and Lake Washington. The analysis considers all of Birchwood Village's runoff areas (catchments) and provides a detailed analysis with recommendations. Note that these catchments are not official and were created in order to rank practices more effectively by geographic area. The existing stormwater management practices within each catchment were analyzed for the annual pollutant loading of the following: Total Phosphorous (TP), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), and Water Quality Volume (WQV). (See Figure 6-5. Catchment Analysis Map). Although the City has not analyzed which SWA projects it may consider, it will review the recommendations as part of any feasibility study.

Project Rank	Catchment ID Lake##	Retrofit Type	Projects Identified	TP Reduction (Ib/yr)	TSS Reduction (Ib/yr)	Volume Reduction (ac-ft/yr)	Total Cost	Annual Operations & Maintenance (2017 Dollars)	\$ Cost /lb-TP/Year (10-year)	\$ Cost/ton- TSS/year (10-year)
1	LOST-01	Increased Street Sweeping to 4x per year	1	2.78	1209	0.00	\$784	\$0	\$28	\$130
2	WBL-02	Increased Street Sweeping to 4x per year	1	1.30	520	0.00	\$672	\$0	\$56	\$258
3	WBL-10	Increased Street Sweeping to 4x per year	1	1.07	464	0.00	\$820	\$0	\$77	\$354
4	WBL-04	Increased Street Sweeping to 4x per year	1	0.96	416	0.00	\$781	\$0	\$81	\$375
5	WBL-03	Increased Street Sweeping to 4x per year	1	0.50	216	0.00	\$798	\$0	\$160	\$739
6	WBL-05	Increased Street Sweeping to 4x per year	1	0.29	128	0.00	\$730	\$0	\$252	\$1,140
7	WBL-05	Increased Street Sweeping to 4x per year	1	0.26	114	0.00	\$721	\$0	\$277	\$1,265
8	WBL-04	BMP 29: Expand SAFL Baffle to Grit Chamber	1	1.99	691	0.00	\$8,100	\$200	\$528	\$3,730
9	WBL-03	BMP 0: Pipe Repair + Grit Chamber	2	2.28	1012	0.00	\$15,325	\$200	\$760	\$3,424

Table 6-2. Catchment Analysis Results (Source: RCWD South East White Bear Lake Stormwater Retrofit Analysis Report, 2017)

Project Rank	Catchment ID Lake <i>+</i> #	Retrofit Type	Projects Identified	TP Reduction (Ib/yr)	TSS Reduction (Ib/yr)	Volume Reduction (ac-ft/yr)	Total Cost	Annual Operations & Maintenance (2017 Dollars)	\$ Cost /lb-TP/Year (10-year)	\$ Cost/ton- TSS/year (10-year)
10	WBL-02	BMP 5: BioFiltration Basin	1	2.86	851	0.71	\$17,658	\$450	\$775	\$5,208
11	WBL-10	BMP 6: BioFiltration Basin in Park	1	3.81	849	0.95	\$23,050	\$750	\$802	\$7,197
12	WBL-06	BMP 31: Infiltration Basin South of Cedar Lower	1	1.23	464	0.19	\$9,104	\$225	\$923	\$4,894
13	WBL-05	BMP 4: BioFiltration Basin	1	1.53	588	0.99	\$10,291	\$400	\$934	\$4,861
14	WBL-04	BMP2: BioFiltration Basin in Front Yard	1	2.91	871	1.19	\$23,554	\$200	\$1,167	\$5,868
15	WBL-02	BMP:38-42: Swale with Riprap Sump	1	1.23	395	0.33	\$9,308	\$900	\$1,485	\$9,270
16	WBL-04	BMP3: Expand Swale and Sump	2	0.40	169	0.34	\$5,000	\$100	\$1,508	\$7,101
17	WBL-04	BMP30:Bioinfi ltration Basin in Woods	1	1.27	478	0.64	\$18,950	\$200	\$1,648	\$8,766
18	WBL-06	BMP 33: Infiltration Basin North of Cedar	1	0.73	321	0.34	\$9,920	\$300	\$1,763	\$8,050
19	WBL-06	BMP 31 +32 ALT Combined	2	1.49	583	0.68	\$21,894	\$600	\$1,873	\$9,569
20	WBL-06	BMP 32: Infiltration Basin South of Cedar Upper	1	0.57	236	0.31	\$14,940	\$375	\$3,262	\$15,839
21	WBL-03	BMP 1: Curb Cut Raingarden	1	0.70	65	0.00	\$20,086	\$525	\$3,619	\$77,957
22	WBL-02	Typical Shoreline Restoration	20	3.00	500	0.00	\$73,400	\$5,850	\$4,397	\$52,760